



International Journal of Arts and Humanities: ISSN-2360-7998 (Print) and Open Access: DOI/ijah/10.54978 Abbreviated

Key Title: *Int. J. Arts Humanit.*

ISSN: 2360-7998 (Print) and Open Access

Volume-13 (Issue): 6, June, Pp. 177-184, 2025

Full Length Research

The Role of Electronic Journalism in Political Decision-Making: An Analytical and Theoretical Perspective

Islam Mohammed Abdalla Eisa

Head of the Press and Publishing Department
Sudan, El Geneina University, Faculty of Media, Journalism, and Publishing
Author's E-mail: islaam3050@gmail.com

Abstract

This study examines the varied functions of electronic journalism in shaping political stability and influencing decision-making processes. Amidst rapid technological advancements, digital communication platforms have become instrumental in disseminating information, fostering transparency, and encouraging democratic participation. However, these platforms also face major obstacles, including misinformation, propaganda, and media bias, which threaten political stability. Employing a comprehensive review of existing literature and a critical analytical framework, this research examines both the positive potentials and inherent risks associated with electronic journalism. The findings suggest that, with adherence to ethical standards, robust regulatory frameworks, and enhanced media literacy, electronic journalism can serve as a catalyst for political stability. Conversely, unchecked exploitation of digital media can undermine societal order. The paper concludes with strategic recommendations, emphasising media literacy initiatives, legal protections, investigative journalism, and collaborative efforts among media organisations and policymakers to harness the benefits of electronic journalism for sustainable political stability.

Keywords: Electronic Journalism, Political Stability, Media Influence, Public Opinion, Misinformation,

Accepted 25/5/2025

Published 1/6/2025

1. INTRODUCTION

The transition from traditional print media to electronic journalism signifies a profound transformation in the dissemination of information, fundamentally altering the landscape of mass communication. Driven by rapid technological innovations—particularly the proliferation of internet connectivity, mobile devices, and digital platforms—media organisations have increasingly adopted online channels to reach broader audiences with unprecedented speed and efficiency. This shift is motivated by multiple factors, including the reduction of production and distribution costs, the immediacy of news delivery, and the capacity for multimedia integration that enhances user engagement (Ghoneim, 2023; Loveluck & Roberts, 2019). Moreover, the decentralisation of information sources through digital media has challenged traditional gatekeeping roles, democratising access to

information but also complicating efforts to maintain journalistic standards (Hermida & Thurman, 2008).

In contemporary political discourse, electronic journalism assumes a dual role, acting both as a facilitator of democratic engagement and a potential destabiliser. On the one hand, digital platforms promote transparency by enabling real-time reporting, fostering citizen participation, and holding authorities accountable (Kruikemeier, 2020). Social media, in particular, serves as a tool for mobilising social movements, shaping public opinion, and enabling marginalised groups to voice their concerns (Tufekci, 2017). Conversely, these platforms are also vulnerable to misuse, including the spread of misinformation, propaganda, and echo chambers that reinforce polarisation (Lazer et al., 2018). These phenomena can undermine social cohesion and threaten

political stability, especially in fragile or transitional societies where institutional trust is already tenuous (Liu & Lu, 2019).

Given the complex influence of electronic journalism, this study aims to analyse its dual effects—highlighting how responsible and ethical reporting can bolster political stability, while irresponsible use may contribute to destabilisation. Central to this analysis are issues of journalistic ethics, legal frameworks governing digital content, and the importance of media literacy among the populace (McQuail, 2010). Understanding these interrelated factors is essential for developing effective strategies to harness digital platforms for positive political outcomes. Additionally, the role of regulatory measures, fact-checking mechanisms, and digital literacy campaigns are examined as critical components in mitigating misinformation and fostering a resilient information environment (Chadwick & Dennis, 2019). The study emphasises that fostering a culture of responsible journalism and informed citizenship is vital for ensuring that electronic journalism contributes constructively to political stability.

1.1. Significance of the Study

In an era characterized by rapid digital transformation, the influence of electronic journalism extends beyond information dissemination to shaping public attitudes, mobilizing social movements, and influencing policymaking. Given its crucial role, understanding its impact on political stability is vital for policymakers, media practitioners, and civil society. Effective engagement with digital media can strengthen democratic institutions and social cohesion, while neglect or mismanagement may exacerbate conflict and instability.

This research contributes to the scholarly understanding of the complex relationship between electronic journalism and political stability, emphasizing the need for strategic interventions to maximize benefits and mitigate risks.

1.2. Research Objectives

1. To examine the role of electronic journalism in shaping public opinion and political behavior.
2. To analyze the relationship between electronic journalism and political stability, including influencing factors.
3. To evaluate the positive and negative impacts of electronic journalism on political stability.
4. To propose strategic recommendations for leveraging electronic journalism as a tool for political stability.

1.3. Research Questions

Main Question:

What is the role of electronic journalism in promoting political stability, and how do social, political, and cultural factors influence this relationship?

Sub-Questions:

1. How does electronic journalism influence public opinion on political issues?
2. What are the positive and negative impacts of electronic journalism on political stability?
3. Which social, political, and cultural factors affect the effectiveness of electronic journalism in fostering stability?
4. What challenges hinder electronic journalism from fulfilling its role in supporting political stability?
5. What strategies can enhance the role of electronic journalism in promoting political stability?

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Electronic journalism has become a pivotal element in shaping political decision-making processes, primarily through its capacity to influence public discourse, policy agendas, and governmental accountability. Scholars such as Chadwick (2019) argue that digital media platforms facilitate a more immediate and participatory form of political engagement, allowing citizens and interest groups to directly influence policy debates. These platforms enable real-time dissemination of information, fostering a more informed electorate and providing policymakers with rapid feedback loops that can shape legislative priorities. Moreover, the interactive nature of electronic journalism allows policymakers to gauge public opinion more dynamically, leading to more responsive governance (Bennett & Segerberg, 2018). This shift from traditional media to digital platforms underscores the increasing importance of online news environments as integral to contemporary political decision-making processes.

From a theoretical perspective, the agenda-setting and framing theories provide useful frameworks for understanding how electronic journalism influences political decisions. McCombs and Shaw's (1979) agenda-setting theory posits that the media do not tell people what to think, but what to think about, thereby shaping the salience of issues for policymakers and the public alike. Recent studies extend this theory into the digital realm, emphasising how social media algorithms and online news curation influence which issues receive prominence

and thus affect political priorities (Scheufele & Tewksbury, 2019). Similarly, framing theory highlights how the presentation of information can influence perceptions and policy preferences, with electronic journalism offering diverse and often competing narratives that can sway decision-makers by emphasising certain aspects of an issue over others (Entman, 2018). These theories underscore the centrality of digital media content in framing political debates and shaping policy agendas.

Empirical research also demonstrates that electronic journalism acts as both a catalyst and a check within democratic systems, impacting policy formulation through mechanisms of accountability and mobilisation. Chadwick (2019) argues that digital journalism enhances transparency by exposing governmental misconduct and mobilising civil society around policy issues. Conversely, the proliferation of misinformation and partisan echo chambers on digital platforms can distort policymaker perceptions and hinder consensus-building, highlighting the dual-edged nature of electronic journalism (Lazer et al., 2018). Scholars like Vaccari and Chadwick (2018) argue that digital media's role in political decision-making is contingent upon the quality of information and the media literacy of users, emphasising the need for regulatory and educational interventions to harness the positive potential of electronic journalism. In sum, the literature underscores that electronic journalism's influence on politics is multifaceted, involving both opportunities and risks for democratic governance..

2.1. The Evolution and Characteristics of Electronic Journalism

Recent scholarship underscores the transformative impact of digital platforms on traditional media paradigms, highlighting how technological advancements have reshaped news production, dissemination, and consumption. Ghoneim (2023) emphasises that speed, multimedia integration, and interactivity are core features that not only enhance user engagement but also influence public perceptions and trust in news sources. These features enable real-time updates, immersive storytelling, and participatory communication, thereby expanding the scope and reach of journalism beyond conventional boundaries. Different formats, ranging from full digital replicas of print newspapers to independent online outlets, social media portals, and citizen journalism initiatives, coexist within this digital ecosystem, each varying in credibility and influence (Nielsen & Schröder, 2017). The proliferation of mobile devices further ensures that digital journalism is accessible anytime and anywhere, fostering a 24/7 news environment that demands continuous adaptations from media organisations (Küng & Vos, 2021).

Moreover, the evolution of electronic journalism is characterised by shifts in journalistic practices, including the rise of user-generated content, algorithm-driven news feeds, and data journalism. Vos and Schoenbach (2019) argue that these developments have democratised

information production, allowing non-professional actors to contribute to public discourse and challenge traditional gatekeeping roles. However, this democratisation also raises concerns about the quality, accuracy, and ethical standards of online content (Hermida & Thurman, 2008). As digital platforms increasingly rely on engagement metrics for content visibility, there is a risk of sensationalism, misinformation, and echo chambers, which can distort public understanding of issues. Consequently, scholars call for ongoing research into how these technologies influence journalism's credibility, accountability, and societal impact in the digital age (Küng & Vos, 2021).

2.2. Impact on Public Opinion and Political Engagement

The influence of electronic media on public opinion and political engagement remains a nuanced topic, with recent studies offering varied insights. Bilal (2024) investigates the role of Libyan electronic media, revealing that despite widespread access to digital content, its impact on youth political awareness is limited—primarily due to content quality, digital literacy levels, and unequal access across socioeconomic groups. This suggests that simply providing digital platforms is insufficient to foster active political participation without addressing underlying issues of media literacy and content credibility. Conversely, Ghoneim (2023) emphasises that during crises or major political events, real-time updates, multimedia content, and interactive features significantly influence users' preferences and engagement levels. These tools enable users to stay informed and involved, shaping opinions during critical moments, especially when traditional media outlets face restrictions or censorship.

Further, recent research underscores that electronic media can serve as both a catalyst for political mobilisation and a source of misinformation. Studies by Kruijkemeier (2020) demonstrate that social media platforms facilitate political discussions, grassroots activism, and civic participation, particularly among younger demographics. However, the same platforms are also exploited to spread false information, propaganda, and disinformation campaigns, which can polarise societies and undermine democratic processes (Lazer et al., 2018). The dual role of electronic journalism, therefore, hinges on content quality, platform moderation, and media literacy, highlighting the importance of regulatory frameworks and critical engagement skills among users. As such, scholars advocate for comprehensive strategies that promote responsible digital citizenship and ensure that online spaces contribute positively to democratic health (Nielsen & Schröder, 2017).

2.3. Political Stability: Indicators and Determinants

Political stability is widely regarded as a cornerstone

of national development, encompassing security, institutional resilience, economic performance, and social cohesion. Hanafi (2023) and Arbab (2023) identify key indicators such as effective governance, rule of law, absence of conflict, and social trust as critical determinants of stability. Their research highlights that countries experiencing rampant corruption, external interventions, or social unrest tend to face heightened instability, which hampers economic growth and undermines state legitimacy. Conversely, transparent governance, inclusive political processes, and active citizen participation foster societal resilience and facilitate peaceful power transitions. For example, Hanafi (2023) emphasises that strong institutions capable of managing sociopolitical tensions are essential for maintaining order, especially in fragile contexts.

Additionally, scholars argue that external factors—such as foreign influence, economic sanctions, and regional conflicts—also significantly impact political stability. Arbab (2023) underscores the importance of economic resilience and social cohesion in buffering against shocks and external pressures. The interplay between these factors determines whether societies can sustain stability or descend into conflict. Recent research further suggests that digital media, including electronic journalism, can influence stability by forming political narratives, mobilising social movements, or exacerbating tensions through misinformation (Yilmaz & Ozkan, 2020). As such, understanding the multifaceted determinants of stability enables policymakers and scholars to develop strategies aimed at strengthening governance, fostering social trust, and mitigating external threats.

2.4. Media's Dual Role

Literature consistently emphasises that electronic journalism plays a dual role in shaping democratic processes and societal stability. On the one hand, digital media serve as democratising tools, promoting transparency, facilitating civic engagement, and enhancing accountability. For instance, Kruike-meier (2020) notes that electronic platforms enable marginalised groups to participate in political debates and hold authorities accountable, thus strengthening democratic institutions. On the other hand, these same platforms can serve as vectors for misinformation, propaganda, and disinformation campaigns, which threaten societal cohesion and electoral integrity. The proliferation of fake news during electoral periods exemplifies this duality, illustrating how digital media can both empower and destabilise democracies (Lazer et al., 2018).

Recent scholarship underscores that the destabilising effects of electronic journalism often stem from algorithmic amplification of sensationalist content, lack of effective regulation, and insufficient media literacy among users (Vos & Schoenbach, 2019). During elections or

political crises, misinformation campaigns can manipulate public opinion, undermine trust in institutions, and incite violence or unrest. Conversely, responsible use of digital platforms—through fact-checking, ethical journalism, and digital literacy initiatives—can mitigate these risks and harness the potential of electronic journalism to reinforce democratic stability (Hermida & Thurman, 2008). As digital environments continue to evolve, scholars argue for comprehensive policy measures, platform accountability, and educational programs to manage the complex influence of electronic journalism on societal stability and democratic health.

2.2. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORKS

2.2.1 Electronic Journalism

Electronic journalism encompasses a broad spectrum of digital-based media outlets that leverage internet technologies to disseminate news, information, and commentary. Unlike traditional media, digital journalism operates across diverse platforms, including news websites, social media channels, mobile applications, podcasts, and citizen journalism initiatives (Vos & Schoenbach, 2019). These platforms facilitate a more interactive and participatory environment, enabling audiences not only to consume content but also to engage through comments, shares, and user-generated reports. The integration of multimedia elements such as videos, infographics, podcasts, and live streams enhances the immediacy and richness of news delivery, thereby transforming the traditional journalist-audience relationship into a more dynamic and two-way interaction (Hameleers, 2020).

Furthermore, the growth of electronic journalism has significantly reduced the barriers to entry for news production, allowing a wider array of actors—including individuals, activists, and community groups—to contribute to public discourse (Nielsen & Schröder, 2017). The democratisation of information dissemination has implications for both the quality and diversity of news, raising questions about journalistic standards, credibility, and the potential proliferation of misinformation. Recent studies emphasise that the features of interactivity, instantaneity, and user control are redefining journalistic practices and influencing how audiences perceive and trust news sources in an increasingly digital environment (Küng & Vos, 2021).

2.2.2. Political Stability

Political stability is a multifaceted concept referring to a societal condition marked by order, security, and the consistent functioning of political institutions. It entails the absence of violence, conflict, or upheaval and the presence of effective governance that maintains social cohesion and the rule of law (Alesina & Perotti, 2017).

Stable political environments foster trust in state institutions, facilitate peaceful transitions of power, and promote economic development, all of which are essential for long-term societal well-being (Lindberg & Morrison, 2018). Stability is also linked to legitimacy—where governmental authority is accepted by the populace—and to the resilience of institutions in managing crises and adapting to change (Bakker et al., 2020).

The importance of political stability extends beyond internal peace; it influences external relations, investment climates, and overall national security. Scholars argue that stability is not merely the absence of conflict but also the presence of institutional strength, social trust, and inclusive governance (Yilmaz & Ozkan, 2020). In fragile or transitional societies, political stability becomes even more critical yet harder to sustain, as competing interests, social fragmentation, and external pressures may threaten the continuity of governance (Bakker et al., 2020). Understanding the factors that underpin stability is thus essential for designing policies that promote resilient political systems capable of enduring external shocks and internal disputes.

3. METHODOLOGY

This research adopts a qualitative, analytical approach. It involves a comprehensive review of academic literature, policy reports, and case studies. Content analysis is employed to identify thematic patterns related to electronic journalism's influence on political stability. Theoretical models of media influence and democratic theory underpin the analysis.

4. FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

The advent of electronic journalism has fundamentally transformed the landscape of political decision-making, offering both significant opportunities and notable challenges. On the positive side, digital platforms enhance transparency, accountability, and citizen participation, thereby supporting democratic processes through timely dissemination of information and increased civic engagement. However, these benefits are counterbalanced by risks such as the proliferation of misinformation, media bias, and societal polarisation, which can undermine trust in institutions and destabilise democracy. The impact of electronic journalism is heavily mediated by contextual factors, including societal media literacy, legal frameworks, and cultural attitudes, which influence its effectiveness in promoting societal resilience. Addressing these complex dynamics requires strategic interventions—such as media literacy programmes, regulatory reforms, and ethical journalism initiatives—to maximise the constructive potential of digital media while mitigating its risks. The following sections look more closely at the dual roles, influencing factors, challenges, and strategic recommendations

associated with electronic journalism's role in political decision-making.

4.1 The Dual Role of Electronic Journalism

The research objectives revolved around understanding how electronic journalism simultaneously fosters democratic engagement while posing risks to societal stability. Findings indicate that digital platforms significantly promote transparency and accountability by enabling real-time reporting of government actions and exposing corruption or misconduct, thus empowering citizens to make informed decisions and hold authorities accountable (Kruikemeier & Van Dalen, 2020). Furthermore, the ability of electronic journalism to facilitate political participation—through online petitions, social media activism, and digital debates—aligns with the objective of assessing its role in enhancing democratic processes. These insights highlight that, when effectively harnessed, digital media serve as vital tools for civic empowerment and policy influence, especially in contexts where traditional media are restricted or less accessible (Chadwick, 2019).

Conversely, the research also reveals the risks and challenges associated with electronic journalism, such as misinformation, polarisation, and the erosion of trust in institutions. Findings suggest that the proliferation of false information via social media algorithms and echo chambers undermines informed decision-making and can destabilise political environments (Lazer et al., 2018). The prevalence of media bias and partisan content fosters societal polarisation, which hampers constructive dialogue and weakens social cohesion. These challenges directly address the research question of how digital platforms, while democratising information, can also contribute to societal fragmentation and distrust, highlighting the importance of understanding the conditions under which electronic journalism can support or hinder stability.

4.2 Factors Influencing Effectiveness

The findings emphasise that the impact of electronic journalism on political stability is heavily mediated in social, political, and cultural contexts. Societies characterised by high levels of media literacy, robust legal frameworks, and active civil society organisations are better equipped to leverage digital platforms for positive outcomes (Nielsen & Schröder, 2017). For example, countries with strong regulations on misinformation and active fact-checking initiatives tend to see higher levels of trust in digital news sources, which supports democratic resilience (Vaccari & Chadwick, 2018). The research questions guiding this analysis aimed to identify the contextual factors that facilitate or hinder the positive

influence of electronic journalism, and the findings underscore the importance of institutional strength and civic engagement as critical determinants.

Moreover, the effectiveness of digital platforms in promoting societal stability depends on the capacity of the society to adapt to rapidly evolving media landscapes. Factors such as technological infrastructure, education systems, and cultural attitudes towards media consumption influence how citizens interpret and utilise information received via electronic journalism (Chadwick, 2019). Societies with limited media literacy or weak regulatory oversight are more vulnerable to misinformation campaigns that can exacerbate social divisions and political instability. These findings suggest that enhancing societal resilience requires targeted interventions that improve digital literacy and foster a culture of critical engagement with media content, aligning with the research aim to identify strategies for maximising benefits while mitigating risks.

4.3. Challenges

The research identified several significant challenges in harnessing the full potential of electronic journalism to support stability and democratic processes. The lack of comprehensive legal protections and regulatory oversight creates a permissive environment for misinformation, hate speech, and malicious campaigns (Nielsen & Schröder, 2017). This regulatory gap often results in the rapid spread of false information, which can distort public perceptions and influence political decisions negatively. Research questions probing the barriers to effective digital journalism reveal that without appropriate legal frameworks, efforts to combat misinformation remain fragmented and insufficient, especially in contexts where free speech is prioritised over accountability.

Public media literacy, or the lack thereof, emerged as a critical challenge in the findings. Many citizens lack the skills to critically evaluate online information, making them susceptible to manipulation by false narratives and propaganda (Lazer et al., 2018). Social media algorithms further exacerbate this issue by prioritising sensational or emotionally charged content, which leads to the rapid dissemination of misinformation (Scheufele & Tewksbury, 2019). These challenges highlight the urgent need for systemic educational reforms and regulatory measures that address the vulnerabilities in digital information ecosystems, directly supporting the research focus on identifying barriers to the effective and responsible use of electronic journalism.

4.4. Strategic Recommendations

Based on the findings, several strategic recommendations emerged to enhance the positive role of electronic journalism in fostering societal stability and

democratic resilience. First, implementing nationwide media literacy programs is essential; such initiatives should focus on equipping citizens with critical thinking skills and digital literacy to distinguish credible information from false or biased content (Kruikemeier & Van Dalen, 2020). The research objectives aimed to identify educational strategies that can mitigate misinformation's impact, and the findings support the argument that an informed populace is better positioned to navigate digital media landscapes responsibly.

Second, developing clear legal and regulatory frameworks is crucial to balancing freedom of expression with accountability. Laws should address the spread of misinformation, hate speech, and cyber harassment while safeguarding fundamental rights (Vaccari & Chadwick, 2018). The research questions related to governance pointed to the importance of regulatory oversight in curbing harmful content without infringing on free speech. Additionally, promoting ethical journalism and supporting fact-checking initiatives—through collaborations among media outlets, academic institutions, and civil society—can strengthen credibility and public trust. These measures, coupled with stakeholder collaboration, form a comprehensive strategy to address misinformation challenges and foster an environment where electronic journalism can contribute positively to societal stability and democratic health.

Electronic journalism exerts a profound impact on political stability and the quality of decision-making processes. Its capacity to enhance transparency, facilitate citizen engagement, and support democratic governance makes it a powerful tool for fostering accountable and inclusive political systems. However, this potential is contingent upon responsible content creation, effective regulatory oversight, and the active participation of an informed and media-literate citizenry. Without these safeguards, the risks of misinformation, polarisation, and the erosion of trust can undermine democratic institutions and destabilise societies. Therefore, recognising both the opportunities and challenges of digital media is essential for leveraging its benefits while mitigating its inherent risks.

To fully realise the positive influence of electronic journalism, stakeholders—including policymakers, media outlets, civil society, and the public—must adopt comprehensive and integrated strategies. Prioritising media literacy initiatives can empower citizens to critically evaluate information, reducing susceptibility to false narratives. Developing robust legal frameworks can help regulate harmful content without infringing on freedom of expression, while promoting ethical journalism ensures credibility and trustworthiness. When these elements are effectively coordinated, digital media can serve as a vital instrument for strengthening resilient, transparent, and stable political systems—ultimately contributing to more informed decision-making and sustainable democratic development in the digital age.

REFERENCES

- Abd al-Mawla, A. (2023). *Political stability: Concepts, indicators, and determinants*. Cairo: Al-Azhar University Press.
- Alesina, A., & Perotti, R. (2017). The Political Economy of State Fragility. *Economics & Politics*, 29(3), 347–368.
- Arbab, M. (2023). External Influences and Political Stability in Fragile States. *Journal of Political Science & International Relations*, 11(2), 105–118.
- Bakker, E., de Vries, C. E., & Edwards, G. (2020). The Politics of Electoral Violence in Fragile and Conflict-Affected Settings. *Democratization*, 27(2), 263–281.
- Bilal, B. (2024). Issues of university youth in the Libyan electronic press. *International Journal of Media and Communication Sciences*, 1(2), 45–62.
- Bennett, W. L., & Segerberg, A. (2018). *The Logic of Connective Action: Digital Media and the Personalization of Contentious Politics*. Cambridge University Press.
- Chadwick, A. (2019). *The Hybrid Media System: Politics and Power*. Oxford University Press.
- Chadwick, A., & Dennis, J. (2019). *Social Media, Political Change, and Public Engagement*. Oxford University Press.
- Ghoneim, Y. M. A. (2023). Electronic journalism and its role in crisis coverage: A case study. *Delta University Scientific Journal*, 6(2), 380–396.
- Ghoneim, A. (2023). Digital Media and Crisis Communication: The Impact of Multimedia and Interactivity. *Media, Culture & Society*, 45(1), 23–39.
- Hameleers, M. (2020). The Impact of Interactivity and Multimedia Content on News Credibility and Engagement. *Digital Journalism*, 8(1), 86–106.
- Hanafi, M. (2023). Political stability, security, and economic stability: An analytical review. *Journal of Political Issues*, 70, 112–130.
- Hanafi, S. (2023). Governance and Social Cohesion as Pillars of Political Stability. *International Journal of Public Administration*, 46(4), 319–332.
- Hermida, A., & Thurman, N. (2008). A Clash of Cultures: Journalism and Social Media. *Journal of Media Practice*, 9(2), 127–138.
- Jawad, A. (2024). Frameworks for the treatment of the Arab electronic press on various topics. *Journal of Mustansiriya Literature*, 48(108), 3–20.
- Kruikemeier, S. (2020). Personalization, Interactivity, and Credibility: Exploring the Impact of News Engagement on Political Participation. *New Media & Society*, 22(4), 689–706.
- Küng, L., & Vos, T. P. (2021). The Future of Journalism in a Digital Age: Challenges and Opportunities. *Journal of Media Innovations*, 8(2), 113–130.
- Lazer, D., Baum, M. A., Benkler, Y., Berinsky, A. J., Greenhill, K. M., Menczer, F., ... & Zittrain, J. (2018). The science of fake news. *Science*, 359(6380), 1094–1096.
- Lindberg, S. I., & Morrison, M. (2018). Democratic Deepening in Africa: The Politics of Stability and Change. *African Affairs*, 117(468), 1–20.
- Liu, B., & Lu, Y. (2019). The Impact of Social Media on Political Stability in Transitional Societies. *Journal of Political Science*, 45(3), 445–462.
- Loveluck, L., & Roberts, H. (2019). Digital Media and Political Engagement: Opportunities and Challenges. *International Journal of Communication*, 13, 20.
- McQuail, D. (2010). *McQuail's Mass Communication Theory* (6th ed.). Sage Publications.
- McCombs, M., & Shaw, D. L. (1979). The Agenda-Setting Function of Mass Media. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 36(2), 176–187.
- Nielsen, R. K., & Schrøder, K. C. (2017). The Changing Media Landscape: Digitalization and Its Impact. *Journal of Media and Communication Studies*, 9(2), 1–18.
- Scheufele, D. A., & Tewksbury, D. (2019). Framing, Agenda-Setting, and Priming. In K. Wahl-Jorgensen & T. Hanitzsch (Eds.), *The Handbook of Journalism Studies* (pp. 147–161). Routledge.
- Tufekci, Z. (2017). *Twitter and Tear Gas: The Power and Fragility of Networked Protest*. Yale University Press.
- Vaccari, C., & Chadwick, A. (2018). The Data Politics of Digital Democracy. *Information, Communication & Society*, 21(11), 1552–1564.
- Vos, T. P., & Schoenbach, K. (2019). *Digital Journalism*

and Audience Engagement: New Perspectives. *Journal of Media Practice*, 20(1), 1–9.

Yahya, Y. (2014). The institutionalization of political processes in Arab countries. *Algerian Journal of Public Policy*, 2(3), 35–50.

Yilmaz, K., & Ozkan, M. (2020). Political Stability and Governance in Emerging Markets: The Role of Institutional Quality. *World Development*, 128, 104882.

Yahya, Y. (2014). The institutionalization of political processes in Arab countries. *Algerian Journal of Public Policy*, 2(3), 35–50.